

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/812,235 | Applicant(s) ETTER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Michael Koczo, Jr. | Art Unit 3746 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Michael Koczo, Jr.

(3) _____

(2) Michael P. Leary.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's Amendment. The location of the frame member has been clarified.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)